Security<br>0000

. . . . *Implementation results Conclusion*

### *CAESAR candidate SCREAM*

*Side-Channel Resistant Authenticated Encryption with Masking*

Vincent Grosso<sup>1</sup> Gaëtan Leurent<sup>1,2</sup> François-Xavier Standert<sup>1</sup> Kerem Varıcı<sup>1</sup>

François Durvaux<sup>1</sup> Lubos Gaspar<sup>1</sup> Stéphanie Kerckhof<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UCL, Belgium & <sup>2</sup>Inria, France scream@uclouvain.be

DIAC 2014

#### $\bullet$ 00000000 *SCREAM design*

# *Authenticated Encryption*

Implem<br>0000

*Implementation results Conclusion*

Many different ways to build authenticated encryption

Security<br>0000

- ▶ Block cipher based
	- ▶ 2-pass: GCM, CCM, ...
	- $\blacktriangleright$  1-pass: OCB, ...
	- ▶ Nonce-misuse resistant: SIV, COPA, POET, ...
- ▶ Permutation based
	- ▶ SpongeWrap, DuplexWrap, MonkeyWrap, APE, ...
- ▶ Stream cipher + MAC
	- ▶ Encrypt-then-MAC, MAC-then-Encrypt, Encrypt-and-MAC
- ▶ Dedicated
	- ▶ Helix/Phelix, ALE, ...



Many different ways to build authenticated encryption

*Birthday bound security*

Most block cipher-based and permutation-based modes only have birthday bound security

They need a 2n-bit primitive to resist attacks with 2<sup>n</sup> data and 2<sup>n</sup> time

*Side question: is this n-bit security or* 2*n-bit security?*

- ▶ Use a 128-bit primitive: low security
- ▶ Design a larger primitive: larger hardware

#### $\bullet$ 00000000 *SCREAM design* Security<br>0000 Implen<br>0000 *Implementation results Conclusion Authenticated Encryption*

Many different ways to build authenticated encryption

*Birthday bound security*

Most block cipher-based and permutation-based modes only have birthday bound security

They need a 2n-bit primitive to resist attacks with 2<sup>n</sup> data and 2<sup>n</sup> time *Side question: is this n-bit security or* 2*n-bit security?*

*Beyond birthday security*

Tweakable Block Ciphers provide security beyond the birthday bound. Modes with an *n*-bit TBC resist attacks with 2<sup>n</sup> data and 2<sup>n</sup> time.



#### *Definition (Tweakable block cipher – Liskov, Rivest, Wagner)*

Family of permutation indexed by a key *K* (secret) and a tweak *T* (public)

For each tweak  $T$ ,  $x \mapsto E_K(T, x)$  is an idenpendant PRF

- ▶ TAE: Tweakable Authenticated Encryption (Liskov, Rivest, Wagner)
	- ▶ Nonce-based AEAD, inspired by OCB
	- ▶ Tweak is Nounce+Counter
	- ▶ Full *n*-bit security

*G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 3 / 21*



#### *TAE Features*

- ▶ Fully parallelizable
- ▶ 128-bit security with 128-bit state
	- ▶ + key, nounce, checksum
- ▶ Low overhead (1TBC); good for small messages
- ▶ Minimal extension
- ▶ Patent-free?



- ▶ Side-channel resistance necessary in many lightweight settings
	- ▶ Avoid your car keys / credit card being cloned
- ▶ Usual approach:
	- *1* Design a secure cipher (AES, PRESENT, Noekeon, ...)
	- 2 Implement with side-channel countermeasures
- ▶ We use LS-Designs, with a reverse approach: **1** Use operations that are easy to mask **2** In order to design a secure cipher
- ▶ Previous work: Zorro, PICARO

#### *G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 4 / 21*



We want to design a tweakable block cipher that is efficient on wide range of platform and secure.

- ▶ Side-channel resistance necessary in many lightweight settings
	- ▶ Avoid your car keys / credit card being cloned
- ▶ Usual approach:
	- *1* Design a secure cipher (AES, PRESENT, Noekeon, ...)
	- 2 Implement with side-channel countermeasures
- ▶ We use LS-Designs, with a reverse approach: **1** Use operations that are easy to mask
	- *2* In order to design a secure cipher
- ▶ Previous work: Zorro, PICARO



#### *Important remark*

Logic gates are easier to mask than table-based S-boxes *(If we target Boolean masking)*

- ▶ Use bitsliced S-boxes (SERPENT, Noekeon, ...)
	- ▶ One word contains the msb (resp.  $2^{nd}$  bit, ...) of every S-box
	- $\triangleright$  Bitwise operations: 8 S-boxes in parallel using 8-bit words
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Use a small number of non-linear gates
- $\triangleright$  We can use tables for the diffusion layer!
	- ▶ Efficient, good diffusion
	- ▶ Easy to mask (linear)



#### *Important remark*

Logic gates are easier to mask than table-based S-boxes *(If we target Boolean masking)*

- ▶ Use bitsliced S-boxes (SERPENT, Noekeon, ...)
	- ▶ One word contains the msb (resp.  $2^{nd}$  bit, ...) of every S-box
	- $\triangleright$  Bitwise operations: 8 S-boxes in parallel using 8-bit words
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Use a small number of non-linear gates
- ▶ We can use tables for the diffusion layer!
	- ▶ Efficient, good diffusion
	- ▶ Easy to mask (linear)

Implen<br>0000 *Implementation results Conclusion*

### *LS-designs*

- ▶ Mathematical description: SPN network
	- $\blacktriangleright$  S-boxes
		- ▶ With simple gate representation

Security<br>0000

- ▶ Linear diffusion layer
	- ▶ Mixes the full state
	- ▶ Binary coefficients
- ▶ Good design criterion: wide-trail



- ▶ Bitslice implementation:
	- $\triangleright$  S-box as a series of bitwise operations with CPU words
	- $\blacktriangleright$  L-box tables for diffusion layer
	- $\triangleright$  Easy to mask (simple non-linear ops., complex linear ops.)



 $x \leftarrow P \oplus K$ for  $0 \le r < N_r$  do ▷ S-box layer: for  $0 \le i < l$  do  $x[i, \star] = S[x[i, \star]]$ ▷ L-box layer: for  $0 \leq j < s$  do  $x[\star, j] = L[x[\star, j]]$ ▷ Key addition:  $x$  ←  $x \oplus k_r$ **return** *x*

.

State as a bit-matrix



S-box layer

L-box layer



For SCREAM, we reuse the components of Robin/Fantomas:

- $\blacktriangleright$  8-bit S-box
	- $\triangleright$  Built from 3 smaller S-boxes (Feistel-like structure)
	- ► Pr<sub>lin</sub> = 2<sup>-2</sup>, Pr<sub>diff</sub> = 2<sup>-4</sup>, 11/12 non-linear gates

#### $\blacktriangleright$  16-bit L-box

- $\triangleright$  Branch number 8 (optimal for a binary matrix)
- $\triangleright$  Orthogonal matrix: differential and linear properties equivalent
- ▶ Built from *RM*(2, 5) or *QR*[32, 16, 8]



- ▶ Robin/Fantomas with a tweak/key schedule
	- $\blacktriangleright$  128-bit block
	- $\blacktriangleright$  128-bit key
	- $\blacktriangleright$  128-bit tweak
- ▶ Tweak and key have a similar role (cf. TWEAKEY framework)
- ▶ Must be secure against chosen-tweak attacks (≈ related-key)
- ▶ Use ideas from LED:



- $\triangleright$  One step is two rounds:  $\beta$  active S-Boxes
- $\triangleright$  At least half the steps are active with related-key



▶ Tweak every step; key every second step



- $\triangleright$  Rotation avoids optimal trails with tweak difference
	- $\triangleright \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ : 8 active S-Boxes (involution)
	- $\,\blacktriangleright\, \varDelta \to \varDelta \stackrel{\text{16}}{\lll}$ 1: 12 active S-Boxes



- ▶ Key-schedule based on a  $[3, 2, 2]_4$  code.
	- ▶ Two consecutive subkeys cannot be inactive (with related key).
	- ▶ Tweak difference gives the same *truncated* difference in all subkeys.



- ▶ Optimize L-box to avoid specific trails
	- ▶ 1-R trails  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  have at least 14 active S-boxes
	- $\triangleright$  RK trails with consecutive active steps are equivalent to SK trails
		- ▶ 4-R trail -xx- with tweak difference  $\delta$
		- $\blacktriangleright$   $\delta \rightsquigarrow$  *a*,  $b \rightsquigarrow \delta$  gives  $b \rightsquigarrow \delta \rightsquigarrow a$ ; at least 20 active S-boxes



### *SCREAM design*

TAE Mode LS-Design TBC

#### *Security*

Security Analysis Initial Mistakes

#### *Implementation results*

Software Hardware

#### *Conclusion*

*Security against Differential and Linear Cryptanalysis*

Implem<br>0000

*Implementation results Conclusion*

▶ Fixed key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key At least one half of the steps active

. . . . *Security*

- ▶ Related key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key with more freedom At least one half/one third of the steps active (iScream/Scream)
- $\triangleright$  Wide-trail strategy: each active 2-round step has at least 8 active S-boxes.



*G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 12 / 21*

*Security against Differential and Linear Cryptanalysis*

Implem<br>0000

*Implementation results Conclusion*

▶ Fixed key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key At least one half of the steps active

. . . . *Security*

- ▶ Related key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key with more freedom At least one half/one third of the steps active (iScream/Scream)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wide-trail strategy: each active 2-round step has at least 8 active S-boxes.



*G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 12 / 21*

Implem<br>0000

*Implementation results Conclusion*

*Security against Differential and Linear Cryptanalysis*

▶ Fixed key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key At least one half of the steps active

. . . . *Security*

- ▶ Related key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key with more freedom At least one half/one third of the steps active (iScream/Scream)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wide-trail strategy: each active 2-round step has at least 8 active S-boxes.



. . . . *Security*

*Implementation results Conclusion*

*Security against Differential and Linear Cryptanalysis*

Implem<br>0000

- ▶ Fixed key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key At least one half of the steps active
- ▶ Related key ⊕ Chosen tweak ≈ Related key with more freedom At least one half/one third of the steps active (iScream/Scream)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wide-trail strategy: each active 2-round step has at least 8 active S-boxes.

#### *Minimum number of active S-Boxes*



#### . . . . *Security*

# *Improved Security Analysis*

Implem<br>0000

*Implementation results Conclusion*

- ▶ Components designed to make those simple trails expensive.
	- $\triangleright$  Combine analysis at step level, and analysis at S-box level
- ▶ Optimal trails have two third of the steps active (fixed key).
	- ▶ See submission for more details

#### *Minimum number of active S-Boxes*





In SCREAM v1, we tried to optimize the use of counters in TAE... ...and failed :- (

In SCREAM v2 we stick to the original TAE.

#### *Thanks*

Thanks to Wang Lei and Sim Siang for finding out the mistake!

*G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 14 / 21*



iSCREAM uses an involutive S-Box and L-Box... ... with some unexpected properties :- (

The strong structure of the involutive L-Box, combined with low-weight round constants, allows a self-similarity attack with weak keys or related keys.

We focus on SCREAM at the moment We plan to redesign iSCREAM in the future

*Simple tweak: add full constants*

#### *Thanks*

Thanks to Henry Gilbert, Gregor Leander, Brice Minaud, Sondre Rønjom for finding out!



### *SCREAM design*

TAE Mode LS-Design TBC

#### *Security*

Security Analysis Initial Mistakes

#### *Implementation results*

Software Hardware

#### *Conclusion*

## *Implementation: High-end CPUs*

- $\blacktriangleright$  Use large registers (128-bit) for bitsliced S-box
- $\blacktriangleright$  Use vector permute instructions for L-box

Security<br>0000

- $\triangleright$  4-bit to 8-bit table with pshufb in SSSE3, vtbl in NEON
- $\blacktriangleright$  16-bit to 16-bit table as 8 small tables
- ▶ Constant time (no cache timing side-channel)

#### *Results*

 $0000000000$ *SCREAM design*

- ▶ Fantomas has performances close to AES *(excluding hardware AES)*
- ▶ Tweak gives more security, requires more rounds (20 vs. 12)
- ▶ The TAE mode has a very small overhead
- ▶ Performances similar to AES-GCM (excluding hardware AES)

. . . . *Implementation results Conclusion*



# *Software performance for long messages (cycles/byte)*



More detailed benchmarks soon in eBASH...



### *Software performance for long messages (cycles/byte)*



More detailed benchmarks soon in eBASH...



### *Software performance for long messages (cycles/byte)*



More detailed benchmarks soon in eBASH...



*Implementation: AVR micro-controller*

- ▶ TBC performance: 7650 cycles
	- ▶ Using 1kB table
	- ▶ Smaller tables if needed
- $\blacktriangleright$  For many embedded devices, side-channel attack are a real threat
- ▶ SCREAM has very good performances for masked implementations
	- ▶ Noekeon also very good (similar components)





- $\blacktriangleright$  We study implementations with a 128-bit datapath
	- ▶ Reasonable price/performance ration
- ▶ Low amount of logic in one round
	- ▶ We can unroll one full step per clock cycle
	- ▶ One step ≈ one AES round
	- ▶ SCREAM TBC ≈ AES

#### ▶ Low overhead for TAE mode

▶ Limited extra memory: small total state



### *Hardware performance of the TBC: ASIC*











- ▶ Hardware:
	- ▶ The tweakable block cipher costs about the same as AES
	- ▶ Low overhead for TAE mode (limited extra memory)
	- ▶ Parallelism can be leveraged in a pipelined implementation
- ▶ Micro-controller:
	- ▶ Good performance (< 8k cycles)
	- ▶ Very good if masking is needed

#### ▶ High-end CPU

- ▶ Parallelism exploited with SIMD
- ▶ Performance similar to AES-GCM

(excluding hardware AES instructions)



▶ High security, high performances

*Small tweaks to fix initial mistakes*

▶ The tweakable block cipher is also a useful primitive in itself.

*FPGA implementation results*

*Extra Slides*

*FPGA implementation results*

*FPGA implementation results*

# *FPGA implementation results*

Tweakable Block Cipher:

For **Virtex 6** (XC6 VLX 240T - 3 FF1156):



**Notes:** 

 $1$  Parameter settings: T = True; F = False; --- = not applicable

<sup>2</sup> BRAMs operate on 2x higher clock frequency than the rest of the core

<sup>3</sup> Key initialization requires extra 1 clock cycle for 128b version or 8 clock cycles for 16b version *G. Leurent (UCL,Inria) CAESAR candidate SCREAM DIAC 2014 23 / 21*

*FPGA implementation results*

### *FPGA implementation results*

Authenticated Encryption (full mode)



X = (A + P + 1)\*10 + 2; Y = (A + P + 1)\*20 + 2; A - number of 128b blocks of associated data, P - number of 128b blocks of the plaintext